

# **GERMANTOWN SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## **BUILDING COMMITTEE MEETING**

### **District Administrative Offices**

**N104 W13840 Donges Bay Road  
Germantown, WI 53022**

**Tuesday, November 3, 2009  
4:00 p.m.**

### **AGENDA**

- I. Meeting Called to Order**
- II. Roll Call**
- III. Agenda revisions and approval of agenda**
- IV. Approve Minutes**
  - A. Minutes of September 28, 2009
- V. Reports/Project Updates.**
  - A. Capital Projects Report
  - B. Facility Scheduling – School Dude
  - C. School Space Needs
- VI. Unfinished Business – Discussion & Possible Action.**
  - A. None
- VII. New Business – Discussion & Possible Action.**
  - A. High School Track Condition Analysis
- VIII. Community Comments**
- IX. Adjournment**

# GERMANTOWN SCHOOL DISTRICT

## BUILDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

Meeting Held in Gold Library at Kennedy Middle School

Germantown, WI. 53022

Tuesday, September 28, 2009

- I. **Meeting Called to Order at 5:15 PM by Chair Schultz.**
- II. **Roll Call:** Lester Spies, Michael Schultz, Daniel Sacho, Bruce Warnimont, Ken Rogers, Ric Ericksen, Don Erickson, Adrienne Schneider.
- III. **Agenda revisions and approval of agenda:** Motion to approve agenda by Lester Spies, seconded by Dan Sacho, motion carried 4-0.
- IV. **Approve Minutes**
  - A. Minutes of September 1, 2009. Motion to approve minutes Dan Sacho, seconded by Michael Schultz, motion carried 4-0.
- V. **Reports/Project Updates.**
  - A. School Space Needs - *Dr. Rogers reviewed various reports distributed and meeting with Village of Richfield officials and modular classroom concept. General discussions ensued. No specific action taken.*
  - B. Kennedy Middle School Surveillance Cameras – *Don Erickson provided an update. Administration will proceed as presented.*
- VI. **Unfinished Business – Discussion & Possible Action.**
  - A. Upcoming Projects – Q-Bonds/Fund 41 Project List: verbal report with handouts by Ric Ericksen
- VII. **New Business – Discussion & Possible Action.**

*None*
- VIII. **Community Comments.**

*None*
- IX. **Adjournment:** *Motion to adjourn by Bruce Warnimont, seconded by Lester Spies; motion carried 4-0. Meeting adjourned at 5:57 p.m.*

## **SCHOOL DUDE COMMUNITY USE/VIEW OF CALENDAR OF EVENTS/SITES**

- The District paid approximately \$1,000 in 2008-2009 for the “Community Use” link provided by School Dude and had less than 10 community members request to use the on-line calendar for facility usage.
- The information given by School Dude was that the “Community Use” link not only provided the community access to view the schools/sites calendar of events, but would also allow access to request usage. And this couldn’t be separated out. It is problematic because an individual rights requesting use allowed them to make changes on the calendar.
- The link from the Webpage for community members or outside groups to request use of the District’s facilities on-line, at this time does not provide enough information to be purposeful for the schools or the District staff involved with the facilities calendars. In other words, district officials could not design the application to meet our needs. It is a one size fits all.
- Even with access to view a school/site calendar, the requester still needs to contact the school personnel involved with keeping the sites calendar for verification of availability.
- The Germantown Rec. Dept. is the District’s biggest requester and we are able to list Patti in our system under one of the Districts’ requesters. (Only for reviewing and inputting requests.) They are still approved at the school site level.
- The license fees for 2009-2010 is still \$1,000. However, we are asking all requesters (except recreation department) to go through the schools for availability and requests. Next week district staff will have an opportunity to get additional training and the situation will be re-assessed.

## Classroom Space Options

<b>OPTION</b>	<b>ANTICIPATED POSITIVES (including political)</b>	<b>ANTICIPATED NEGATIVES (including political)</b>	<b>FISCAL ANALYSIS</b>	<b>ADDITIONAL DISCUSSION</b>	<b>PERCEIVED FEASIBILITY (1= low, 10 = high)</b>
<b>Lease school</b> from Menomonie Falls or other commercially available space	Strong potential for good space; M.F. building is in very good shape; could build in a cooperative 4K program, special needs or alternative education	Potential for parent disagreement due to being out of district	Depending on cost of lease could be nominal; some additional bussing costs	Could be used in combination with other options	7
<b>Art &amp; music</b> on a cart	Temporary relief of larger class sizes	Potential for parent disagreement; not the best atmosphere for learning; not all activities fit well on carts	Minimal	Not a strong recommendation for positive curriculum for the two curricular areas	8
<b>Modular classrooms</b>	Potential for good space; flexible for other sites as needed	Relatively high operational cost and limited capability; temporary permits	Nominal if leased or prior owned (used units)	Paid through Fund 41; may be limited to out of village	8
<b>Expanding shared</b> elementary attendance areas	Temporary relief of larger class sizes	Likely difficulties with parents assuming a certain school	Medium increase in bussing costs	Minimal elementary space available at Rockfield	8
<b>Re-districting</b> elementary attendance areas	Temporary relief of larger class sizes	Likely difficulties with parents assuming a certain school	Minimal	Minimal elementary space available at Rockfield	8
<b>New construction</b> monolithic domes	Potential for good space on property already owned by district	Relatively high cost and may encounter design conflicts with village	Significant expenditures	Finance through non-referendum debt ceiling; referendum could also be considered	2
<b>Building addition</b> – Rockfield and Amy Belle	Would also include redistricting of elementary attendance boundaries	Very hard to accomplish under revenue caps; Likely difficulties with parents assuming a certain school	Significant expenditures	Finance through non-referendum debt ceiling; referendum could also be considered	5
<b>Detachment</b> of outlying areas – Richfield, Jackson, Polk	Would reduce enrollment; potential for valuation per member positive	Could reduce numbers to the point of loss of efficiency in MS and HS; potential for valuation per member negative	Loss of taxable properties; reduction in bussing costs	Both districts would need to approve; likely would go to a referendum	2

October 16, 2007

Mr. Jack Klebesadel  
Germantown High School  
Germantown, WI.

Dear Mr. Klebesadel:

In follow up to my inspection of the track this fall, we are pleased to offer our proposal for resurfacing and repair. The Seal-Flex track surface was installed in 1999. Recommended resurfacing intervals to keep the track in good running condition are once every seven years. There is some visible surface wear on the inner lanes and the painted lines are showing wear.

We also discussed the issue with the round surface drains in the high jump area, which are damaged and have not been effectively draining surface water from the high jump. The drains were obviously, at one time, pulled out for cleaning, etc, but were not set back in place properly. This has allowed the surface water to drain around the edges of the drains, resulting in the track base being washed away under the asphalt. This in turn has caused the asphalt around the drains to deteriorate. For the drains to work correctly, asphalt around the drains need to be repaired and the drains reset. To increase the drainage capacity, allowing faster relief of surface water, additional drains should also be installed.

For resurfacing and repair, we recommend the following:

- Trim back all vegetation at edges of track and field events to allow for proper drainage and full application of new surface.
- Repairs to asphalt base of the track. Raised, sunken areas and structural crack repair, if required, are extra costs that are not included in the resurfacing bid price.
- Cleaning. The entire surface shall be cleaned with air blowers, brooms, wire brushes or high-pressure washer as needed to remove all dirt and latent material and to prepare the surface for bonding of the resurfacing coats.
- All existing painted lines and markings shall be blacked out with black acrylic paint.
- All worn, low or delaminated areas of the Seal-Flex surface will be patched or cut and patched with materials compatible with the Seal-Flex® LR-2 resurfacing coat.
- Prime all running surfaces with SBR latex compound. Latex compound to be minimum 50% solids SBR compound which meets all specifications of the Seal-Flex® Corporation.

- Rubber coats. All running surfaces to be covered with two coats of 1/8" x 16 SBR stranded rubber with each coat saturated with pigmented SBR latex. Granular or chunk rubber is not allowed. Latex to be sprayed from a tank capable of mixing a homogeneous consistency and spraying at sufficient pressure to completely saturate the rubber particles.
- Apply a final latex spray with ultra violet stabilized pigments added which meet the specifications of the Seal-Flex® Corporation.
- Painting of lines to be accurately placed in accordance with engineer's plans as specified and in coordination with the school athletic department. They shall conform to the National Federation of State High School Associations, National Collegiate Athletic Association, or United States Tennis and Track Builders Association rules and specifications, whichever is appropriate and applies. All event markings shall be clearly identified in lane two. Measurements shall be made on the track to the nearest 1/100<sup>th</sup> of a foot. Angles for event markings shall be set by using a theodolite capable of reading direct to 20 seconds. Marking on the curves by chord length method will not be allowed. The line paint material shall be water base acrylic latex, compatible with rubber and hereinbefore-specified latex material. Includes choice of line colors, large shaded numerals, race identifications, and shaded team name. They shall conform to appropriate governing bodies and applicable state association rules. Letter of accuracy to be provided by the line painter. No subcontractor shall be allowed to perform this work.

Cost: \$48,100.00

For repair of the drains at the high jump area:

- Saw cut, clean out and install new clear stone. Patch with hot mix asphalt. Reinstall drains.

Cost: \$2,800.00

- Install new surface drains: \$625.00 each.

The repair of the drains should be done as soon as possible and should be done before resurfacing of the track. The contractor who would be performing this work is the same one who installed the drains originally. You can contact them directly for this work if you would like. Contact: Dustin Gradle at Tri County Paving, Inc., DeForest, WI, 608-846-4657.

Respectfully Submitted,

Bob Zerull, CTB